14<sup>th</sup> U.S.-Japan Workshop on the Improvement of Building Structural Design and Construction Practices

# "Simulated Earthquake Ground Motion for Structural Design"

Satoru Nagase

Structural Engineering Section, Nikken Sekkei Ltd. Tokyo Japan



#### Contents

#### 1. Introduction

Background and development about simulated earthquake ground motion.

- 2. Method of Simulating Earthquake Ground Motions
- 3. Dynamic Behavior of High-rise buildings
- 4. Conclusions

For performance-based design • • •

## 1. Introduction

# Background and development about simulated earthquake ground motion.



# Examples of ground motions produced by "NS Wave"



Near Tokyo station projected for South Kanto earthquake (M7.9)

— Near Osaka station projected for a multi-fault Tokai / Tonankai / Nankai earthquake (M8.7)

Near Nagoya station projected for a multi-fault Tokai / Tonankai / Nankai earthquake (M8.7)

Considering earthquake source and transmission characteristics as well as local ground characteristics,

 $\Rightarrow$  Expected to produce strong shaking at construction sites

# 2. Method of Simulating Earthquake Ground Motions

### **Basic concepts**

**Basic concepts of performance-based design** 

- (i) examine the performance of each building.
- (ii) clearly explain the results to the public.

Time histories of the acceleration of seismic waves, which reflect the earthquake environment, soil conditions at the construction site, etc.

**Practical method** of simulating earthquake ground motions that can be easily applied to a variety of projects.

#### **Propagation of Seismic Waves**



#### **Framework of the Proposed Method**

	Fourier Amplitude	Fourier Phase
Source Characteristics	<i>ω</i> <sup>2</sup> Model	
Propagation Characteristics	Geometrical Damping	Quantitative Evaluation
Site Characteristics	Multiple Reflection Theory	

#### **Fourier Amplitude**

#### **Source Characteristics**: $\omega^2$ Model



**OPropagation Characteristics** :Geometrical Damping

$$\left| \ddot{U}(r_0,T) \right| \propto \frac{1}{r_0} \Omega_A(T)$$
 (3.2)  
Hypocentral distance

Site Characteristics: Multiple Reflection Theory (Ex. SHAKE by U. C. Berkeley)

# Quantitative Evaluation of the Fourier Phase By Standard Deviation of the Phase Differences $\sigma/\pi$



Figure 2.1 Examples of accelerograms and distributions of the phase differences

# Relational Expression of $\sigma/\pi$ against the Hypocentral Distance for Crustal Earthquake



Figure 2.2 Standard deviation of the phase differences against the hypocentral distance for the records at the KiK-net observation sites with respect to the 2000 Western Tottori Prefecture Earthquake

# Relational Expression of $\sigma/\pi$ against the Hypocentral Distance for Inter-plate Earthquake



Figure 2.3 Standard deviation of the phase differences at the K-NET observation sites in Hokkaido with respect to the 2003 Tokachi-oki Earthquake

#### **Criteria For Selecting Fourier Phase**



Figure 3.1 Standard deviation of the phase differences at the observation sites

#### **Flow Chart of the Proposed Method**

#### **Fourier amplitude Fourier phase** Source and propagation characteristics: Source characteristics: **Crustal earthquake** Eqn. 2.3 $\omega^2$ model Eqn. 3.1 **Inter-plate earthquake Propagation characteristics**: $\bigcirc$ In the direction Eqn. 3.2 of rupture propagation Eqn. 2.4 $\Diamond$ In the orthogonal direction of rupture propagation Eqn. 2.5 (Inverse Fourier transform) Synthesized wave in seismic bedrock Site characteristics: Multiple reflection theory Synthesized wave at surface of ground

Figure 3.3 Flow chart of the proposed method

#### **Verification of the Proposed Method**

#### **Crustal earthquake**( $\sigma/\pi=0.07$ )



Figure 4.1 Comparison between observed waves (EW component) recorded at Yubara, Okayama, and synthesized waves with respect to the 2000 Western Tottori Prefecture Earthquake

#### **Verification of the Proposed Method**

#### Inter-plate earthquake( $\sigma/\pi=0.17$ )



Figure 4.2 Comparison between observed waves (NS component) recorded at Sapporo, Hokkaido, and synthesized waves with respect to the 2003 Tokachi-oki Earthquake

# 3. Dynamic Behavior of High-rise Buildings

#### **Examples of "NS Wave" figures**



- Near Nagoya station projected for a multi-fault Tokai / Tonankai / Nankai earthquake (M8.7)

Expected to produce strong shaking

at construction sites

### Differences in seismic intensity near plate boundaries inland



Near Osaka station projected for inland earthquake (M7 class)

The strength of an earthquake can differ depending on the earthquake type, even at the same site.

#### How buildings sway? Inter-plate earthquake 200m model building (natural period 5 seconds)



Inter-plate earthquake : near Osaka Station projected for a multi-fault Tokai / Tonankai / Nankai earthquakes

# How buildings sway? Crustal earthquake

200m model building (natural period 5 seconds)



**Crustal earthquake :** Osaka Station projected for shallow inland earthquake (magnitude 7)

22

### – Movie –

# How differences in ground motion characteristics affect the swaying of the building ?

#### Differences of the swaying

#### Inter-plate earthquake





#2003 Tokachi-oki Earthquake in Tomakomai city #Japanese seismic intensity was 4

15F

30F

45F

60F

24

#### Differences of the swaying

#### **Crustal earthquake**





# 1995 Hanshin-Awaji earthquake in Kobe city# Japanese seismic intensity was 6

15F

30F

45F

60F

#### Tentative spectra for long period ground motion, announced by government 2012



### 4. Conclusions

#### Conclusion

1. A method of simulating earthquake ground motions based on a quantitative evaluation of the Fourier phase has been introduced.

Examples of the synthesized waves for inter-plate and crustal earthquakes have shown.

- 2. The dynamic behavior of high-rise buildings differs widely depending on the property of earthquake ground motions.
- 3. In performance-based design, it is important to consider the frequency characteristics of seismic waves.

#### And Finally ... points of seismic design and suggestions

- 1. <u>Appropriate choice of seismic safety measures</u> according to required performance and each form of buildings.
- 2. <u>Adequate damping performance</u> with the <u>well-balanced</u> <u>Installment</u> of devices in a building in addition to the structural strength of buildings.
- 3. Earthquake resistance of <u>finishing materials and building</u> <u>equipments</u>, not only of structural frame, in terms of "operational" or "fully operational" use of buildings.
- 4. Correct evaluation of seismic performance <u>for existing</u> <u>skyscrapers.</u>

