# Seismic Actions of Nonstructural Components

14<sup>th</sup> U.S.-Japan Workshop on Improvement of Structural Design and Construction Practices

December 3-5, 2012

### Hiroshi ITO

Director, Housing Renovation and Dispute Settlement Research Institute, Japan "Guideline, Commentary and Detail of Seismic Design and Construction of Non-structural Components by AIJ

## 非構造部材の耐震設計施工指針・同解説 および耐震設計施工要領

**Recommendations for Aseismic Design and Construction of Nonstructural Elements** 



"Guideline, Commentary and Detail of Seismic Design and Construction of Non-structural Components by AIJ

- January 1978 Izu-Oshima Kinkai Earthquake
- February 1978 Miyagi-Ken-Oki Earthquake
- June 1978 Miyagi-Ken-Oki Earthquake
- October 1985: AIJ Guideline was established
- January 1995 Hyogo-ken Nanbu Earthquake
- January 2003: AIJ Guideline was revised
- March 2011 Tohoku Earthquake



## ISO/TC98/SC3/WG 11 Official Meetings To Date

- 25 26 June 2009
- 23 24 November 2009
- 17 18 April 2010
- 1 2 September 2010
- 30 Nov & 1 Dec 2010
- 7 & 8 April 2011
- 28 & 29 October 2011
- 23 & 24 November 2011
- 15 16 March 2012
- 11 November 2012

We also had an informal meeting

- 26 July 2010

Honolulu, Hawaii Oslo, Norway Honolulu, Hawaii San Francisco, California Delft, Netherlands Tsukuba, Japan(cancelled) Tokyo, Japan Stellenbosch, South Africa San Francisco, California

Warsaw, Poland

Toronto, Canada

#### ISO/TC98/SC3/WG11 Member Experts, Member Observers and Other Invited Experts ISO/CD 13033

**Member Experts** 

- Simon Foo Canada
- David Lau Canada
- Hiroshi Ito Japan
- Yoshi Waikyama, Japan
- Yuji Ishiyama Japan (Iso Standard 3010 Liaison)
- Roger Shelton New Zealand Secretariat
- Prof. Januz Kawecki Poland Phil Caldwell USA (with Square D)
- Robert Doswell USA (not active)
- John Silva USA (with Hilti)
- Bob Bachman USA ASCE/ANSI Convener
- Ricardo Medina USA
- Prof. Johann Retief South Africa
- Shunsuke Sawada (ISO TC98/SC3 Secretariat)

Other Invited Experts (attend WG 11 meetings)

- K.C. Tsai Chinese Taipai (with understanding of China concerns)
- George Yao Chinese Taipai (with understanding of China concerns)
- Juin-Fu Chai Chinese Taipai (with understanding of China concerns)
- Carlos Aguirre Chile

#### Observers

- Dr. Gerard Canisus UK observer
- Jun Kanda (Convener ISO TC98/SC3)

ISO/TC98/SC3/WG11 Member Experts, Member Observers and Other Invited Experts ISO/CD 13033



Foreword

Introduction

- 1 Scope
- 2 Normative references
- 3 Terms and definitions
- 4 Symbols (and abbreviated terms)
- 5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria
- 6 Sources of seismic demand on NSCS
- 7 General conditions for determining seismic demand on NSCS
- 8 Quantification of elastic seismic demand on NSCS

9 Verification of NSCS

10 Verification of seismic load path between NSCS and building structural system

11 Quality assurance and enforcement

Annex A (informative) Identification of NSCS requiring seismic evaluation Annex B (informative) Principles for choosing importance factors for NSCS Annex C (informative) Principles for choosing the floor response amplification factor (height factor)

Annex D (informative) Principles for choosing the component amplification factor (resonance factor)

Annex E (informative) Principles for determining response modification factors

Annex F (informative) Principles for determining seismic relative displacements for drift-sensitive components

Annex G (informative) Floor response spectra Annex H (informative) Methods for verifying NSCS by design analysis Annex I (informative) NSCS verification by shake table testing Annex J (informative) NSCS verification through use of experience data Annex K (informative) Principles of seismic anchorage of NSCS Annex L (informative) Quality assurance in design and construction

1.3 Components requiring evaluation

- a) the NSCS poses a falling hazard;
- b) the failure of the NSCS can impede the evacuation of the building;
- c) the NSCS contains hazardous materials;
- d) the NSCS is necessary to the continuing function of essential facilities after the event; and
- e) damage to the NSCS represents a significant financial loss.

5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria

-to prevent human casualties associated with falling hazards and blockage of egress paths;

-to ensure post-earthquake continuity of life-safety functions within the building (e.g., sprinkler piping);

-to ensure continued post-earthquake operation of essential facilities (e.g., hospitals, fire stations);

-to maintain containment of hazardous materials;

-to minimize damage to property

5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria

For ultimate limit state: ULS

i. NSCS will not collapse, detach from the building structure, overturn or experience other forms of structural failure, breakage or excessive displacement (sliding or swinging) that could cause a life safety hazard.

ii. NSCS will perform as required to maintain continuity of life safety functions (e.g., fire-fighting systems, elevators, and other similar vital life safety systems).

iii. NSCS will remain leak tight as required to prevent unacceptable release of hazardous materials (e.g., vessels, tanks and piping and gas circulation systems that contain hazardous materials)

iv. NSCS will operate as necessary immediately following the earthquake event to ensure continued post-earthquake function of essential facilities

5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria For serviceability limit state: SLS

NSCS subjected to the moderate earthquake ground motions specified at the building site (serviceability limit state: SLS), will perform within accepted limits including limitation of financial loss.

6 Sources of seismic demand on NSCS6.1 General

a) inertial acceleration demands;

b) relative displacement demands between points of attachment;

c) impact force demands resulting from interactions with other components or structural members.

6 Sources of seismic demand on NSCS

6.3 Relative displacement demand

a) relative displacements of attachment points that are located at different floor levels of a building;

b) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on independent, seismically separated buildings;

c) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on two NSCS attached to the same or different floors, including components on vibration isolators;

d) relative displacements of attachment points located on NSCS and the building;

e) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on seismically isolated building and its foundation or between seismically isolated floors.

Annex D Principles for choosing the component amplification factor (resonance factor)

Typology see Figure D.1.	Flat (plate) element.			Linear element.			
Method of attachment to building structure.	All face fixed (either front side or back side).	Fixed along upper and lower edges, right and left edges, or all edges.	Fixed along one edge only.	Fixed along length of component.	Both ends fixed.	One end fixed.	
Stiff NSCS*.	1,0.	1,0↩	1,5.	1,0.	1,0.	1,5.	
Others.	1,0.	1,5.	2,5 or more.	1,0.	1,5.	2,5 or more.	
* Stiff NSCS re	efers to compor	nents whose nat	ural frequency is	s greater than 10	) Hz₊		

Annex D Principles for choosing the component amplification factor (resonance factor)



Effect of inertial force of structure

Effect of strain and/or deformation of structure

Figure D.1 - Typology of the connection between structural members and NSCS

昭和51年度(1976) 修士論文梗概集 伊藤 弘, 2次部材の耐震性に関する研究 東京大学大学院工学系研究科建築学専門課程



素1 主体構造との接合形態とその特性

-					
9170	I	I	Ш	V	$\nabla$
主体構造 ヒ2次部間 の接合時間	o (点 ── 線	0 0 0 0 複数の包	上下で場的に混合	周囲すべて発音	<b>副的</b> = 接合
実際の 接合野傷	2次都村	2 次 學 材	天祥スラブ" ////////////////////////////////////	周囲の壁	住上材等
2次部材 の実例	●天祥から吊され た朝明慧具 ●自立した2次 部村	◦わ-テンウィーレ ◦后張リ・テラッー ブロフ 売	<b>向仕切</b> 璧	。サッツ 扉	●9化/・モル列 住上, 吹行得
入力の 特徴	特に 慣性力	層間变位	層間發位	周囲の枠 の変形	歪

躯体o音形变位--5影響

慣性カによる影響

### F.3 Displacement between buildings

Displacement between buildings may be conservatively estimated as the absolute sum of the horizontal displacements of two adjacent buildings at the points of attachment.

Alternatively, it may be taken as the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) of the calculated displacements.



Example of expansion joint



Example of expansion joint

3 Dimensional Move of expansion joint



## ANX: BRI buildings

- 8- and 7-story SRC buildings (with B1F)
- 22 sensors in two buildings and ground



Building layout at ANX



Sensor configuration in BRI buildings at ANX



## Damage to expansion joint



### Displacement of expansion joint



### Displacement of expansion joint

- D<sub>A</sub>: Max. disp. of annex bldg. (8F-B1F)
- D<sub>M</sub>: Max. disp. of main bldg. (8F-B1F)
- D<sub>E</sub>: Max. disp. of expansion joint
- Estimation (1):  $D_{E1} = |D_A| + |D_M|$

• Estimation (2): 
$$D_{E2} = \sqrt{D_A^2 + D_M^2}$$

## Earthquakes discussed

#	Date	Epicenter	<i>h</i> (km)	М	⊿ (km)	PGA (cm/s <sup>2</sup> )	I <sub>JMA</sub>
1	2004/10/06 23:40	S Ibaraki Pref.	66	5.7	17	55	3.8
2	2005/10/19 20:44	Off Ibaraki Pref.	48	6.3	91	40	3.5
3	2007/07/16 10:13	Off Jochuetsu, Niigata Pref.	17	6.8	205	19	3.6
4	2008/05/08 01:45	Off Ibaraki Pref.	51	7	138	50	3.6
5	2011/03/11 14:46	Off Sanriku	24	9	330	279	5.3
6	2011/03/11 15:15	Off Ibaraki Pref.	43	7.7	107	151	4.7
7	2011/03/19 18:56	N Ibaraki Pref.	5	6.1	85	81	3.9
8	2011/04/11 17:16	Hama-dori, Fukushima Pref.	6	7	105	118	4.6
9	2011/04/12 14:07	Naka-dori, Fukushima Pref.	15	6.4	114	39	3.5
10	2011/04/16 11:19	S Tochigi Pref.	79	5.9	26	45	3.6

### Maximum Displacements



Comparison with (1) and (2)

