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Summary and Application


The rapid visual screening procedure (RVS) has been developed for a broad audience, including building officials and inspectors, and government agency and private-sector building owners (hereinafter, the "RVS authority"), to identify, inventory, and rank buildings that are potentially seismically hazardous. Although RVS is applicable to all buildings, its principal purpose is to identify (1) older buildings designed and constructed before the adoption of adequate seismic design and detailing requirements, (2) buildings on soft or poor soils, or (3) buildings having performance characteristics that negatively influence their seismic response. Once identified as potentially hazardous, such buildings should be further evaluated by a design professional experienced in seismic design to determine if, in fact, they are seismically hazardous.

The RVS uses a methodology based on a "sidewalk survey" of a building and a Data Collection Form, which the person conducting the survey (hereafter referred to as the screener) completes, based on visual observation of the building from the exterior, and if possible, the interior. The Data Collection Form includes space for documenting building identification information, including its use and size, a photograph of the building, sketches, and documentation of pertinent data related to seismic performance, including the development of a numeric seismic hazard score.

Once the decision to conduct rapid visual screening for a community or group of buildings has been made by the RVS authority, the screening effort can be expedited by pre-planning, including the training of screeners, and careful overall management of the process.

Completion of the Data Collection Form in the field begins with identifying the primary structural lateral-load-resisting system and structural materials of the building. Basic Structural Hazard Scores for various building types are provided on the form, and the screener circles the appropriate one. For many buildings, viewed only from the exterior, this important decision requires the screener to be trained and experienced in building construction. The screener modifies the Basic Structural Hazard Score by identifying and circling Score Modifiers, which are related to observed performance attributes, and which are then added (or subtracted) to the Basic Structural Hazard Score to arrive at a final Structural Score, $S$. The Basic Structural Hazard Score, Score Modifiers, and final Structural Score, $S$, all relate to the probability of building collapse, should severe ground shaking occur (that is, a ground shaking level equivalent to that currently used in the seismic design of new buildings). Final $S$ scores typically range from 0 to 7, with higher $S$ scores corresponding to better expected seismic performance.

Use of the RVS on a community-wide basis enables the RVS authority to divide screened buildings into two categories: those that are expected to have acceptable seismic performance, and those that may be seismically hazardous and should be studied further. An $S$ score of 2 is suggested as a “cut-off”, based on present seismic design criteria. Using this cut-off level, buildings having an $S$ score of 2 or less should be investigated by a design professional experienced in seismic design.

The procedure presented in this *Handbook* is meant to be the preliminary screening phase of a multi-phase procedure for identifying potentially hazardous buildings. Buildings identified by this procedure must be analyzed in more detail by an experienced seismic design professional. Because rapid visual screening is designed to be performed from the street, with interior inspection not always possible, hazardous details will not always be visible, and seismically hazardous buildings may not be identified as such. Conversely, buildings initially identified as potentially hazardous by RVS may prove to be adequate.
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