

State of the Art Report on Connection Performance

SAC Joint Venture

A partnership of
Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)
Applied Technology Council (ATC)
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)

**Prepared for the SAC Joint Venture Partnership by
Charles Roeder**

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Washington

Project Oversight Committee

William J. Hall, Chair

Shirin Ader
John M. Barsom
Roger Ferch
Theodore V. Galambos
John Gross

James R. Harris
Richard Holguin
Nestor Iwankiw
Roy G. Johnston
Len Joseph

Duane K. Miller
John Theiss
John H. Wiggins

SAC Project Management Committee

SEAOC: William T. Holmes
ATC: Christopher Rojahn
CUREe: Robin Shepherd

Program Manager: Stephen A. Mahin
Project Director for Topical Investigations:
James O. Malley
Project Director for Product Development:
Ronald O. Hamburger

Topical Investigation Team

Jim Anderson
Hassan Astaneh-Asl
John M. Barsom
Vitelmo Bertero

Gregory Deierlein
Michael Engelhardt
Gary Fry
Subash Goel

Matt Johnson
Sashi Kunnath
Roberto Leon
Thomas Murray

James Ricles
Stephen Schneider
Bozidar Stojadinovic
Chia-Ming Uang
Andrew Whittaker

Technical Advisory Panel

Charlie Carter
Robert H. Dodds

Roger Ferch
John D. Hooper

Egor Popov
Steve Powell

Stanley T. Rolfe
Rick Wilkinson

SAC Joint Venture

SEAOC: www.seaoc.org

ATC: www.atcouncil.org

CUREe: www.curee.org

September 2000

THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

In Memory of Egor Popov, Professor Emeritus, University of California at Berkeley

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Background.....	1-3
1.3 Historic Connections.....	1-10
1.4 Development of Pre-Northridge Connection	1-14
1.5 Organization of Report	1-17
2. PRE-NORTHRIDGE CONNECTIONS AND FACTORS AFFECTING THEIR PERFORMANCE.....	2-1
2.1 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connection.....	2-1
2.1.1 Evolution of the Research.....	2-1
2.1.2 Evolution of Professional Practice in Steel Frame Construction.....	2-4
2.1.3 Issues of Concern.....	2-7
2.2 Modes of Failure	2-8
2.2.1 Effect of Member Size and Geometry	2-10
2.2.2 Effect of Panel Zone Yielding	2-12
2.2.3 Effect of Bolts and Bolt Type	2-19
2.2.4 Material Properties of Steel	2-19
2.2.5 Weld Type and Procedures	2-22
2.2.6 Weld Preparation and Weld Access Hole Geometry	2-23
2.2.7 Slenderness and Local Buckling Considerations.....	2-24
2.2.8 Continuity Plate Requirements	2-26
2.2.9 Effect of Load and Deformation History	2-26
2.3 Methods of Predicting Strength, Stiffness and Hysteretic Behavior	2-29
2.3.1 Rotational Capacity.....	2-31
3. POST-NORTHRIDGE WELDED FLANGE CONNECTIONS.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Post-Northridge Connections.....	3-1
3.3 Unreinforced Connections	3-3
3.3.1 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connections with Improved Welding.....	3-3
3.3.2 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connections with Improved Weld Access Hole Details	3-11
3.3.3 Welded-Flange-Welded-Web Connections with Improved Weld Access Hole Details	3-16
3.3.4 Free-Flange Connection.....	3-22
3.4 Reinforced Connections.....	3-30
3.4.1 Haunched Connections	3-30
3.4.2 Coverplate Connections.....	3-40

3.5	Reduced-Beam-Section (RBS) Connections	3-56
3.6	Welded-Flange-Plate Connections	3-73
4.	OTHER ISSUES FOR POST-NORTHRIDGE WELDED FLANGE CONNECTIONS	4-1
4.1	General Introduction	4-1
4.2	Continuity Plates	4-2
4.3	Shear Yielding of Panel Zone	4-6
4.4	Strong-Column-Weak-Beam Connection Requirements	4-9
4.5	Weak-Axis-Column-Bending Connections	4-10
4.6	Lateral Torsional and Local Buckling	4-14
4.7	Deep Columns	4-17
4.8	Box Columns	4-20
4.9	Composite Slabs	4-27
4.10	Temperature Effects	4-30
4.11	Dynamic Loading	4-33
5.	FIELD-BOLTED CONNECTIONS FOR STEEL MOMENT FRAMES	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	PR Connections	5-1
5.2.1	Modes of Failure, Yield Mechanisms, and Rotational Capacity of PR Connections	5-4
5.3	Stiff PR Connections	5-7
5.3.1	Extended-End-Plate Connections	5-7
5.3.2	Bolted-Flange-Plate Connections	5-23
5.4	PR Connections with Intermediate Stiffness	5-34
5.4.1	T-Stub Connection	5-35
5.5	Flexible PR Connections	5-48
5.5.1	Double-Flange-Angle Connections	5-48
5.5.2	Web-Angle Connections, Shear Tab Connections, and Other Web-Only Connections	5-53
6.	SUPPLEMENTAL AND OTHER CONNECTIONS	6-1
6.1	General Discussion of Concept	6-1
6.2	Supplemental Connections – Composite PR Connections	6-1
6.2.1	Composite-Shear-Tab Connections	6-1
6.2.2	Other Composite PR Connections	6-8
6.3	Supplemental Connections – Weld Overlay Connections	6-13
6.4	Supplemental Connections – Connections with Friction and Damping	6-19
6.5	Other Connections	6-20
7.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES	7-1
7.1	Summary	7-1
7.2	Conclusions	7-2
7.3	Unresolved Issues	7-5

REFERENCES, FEMA REPORTS, SAC REPORTS, NOTATION, AND ACRONYMS.....R-1

SAC PHASE II PROJECT PARTICIPANTSS-1