



LATERAL INSTABILITY OF DUCTILE STRUCTURAL WALLS: STATE-OF-THE-ART

Dr Farhad Dashti Prof. Rajesh Dhakal Prof. Stefano Pampanin

Introduction



Buckling of a portion of a wall section out-of-plane, as a result of in-plane actions

Earthquake Observations



2010 Chile earthquake (Wallace 2012) 2011 Christchurch (<u>Elwood 2013</u>)

Lab Observations: PCA Wall Experiments (Oesterle et al. 1976)



Specimen R2 (Oesterle et al. 1976)

Lab Observations: EERC Wall Experiments (Vallenas et al. 1979)



Specimen 3 (Vallenas et al. 1979)

Code Provisions to control Global Instability: NZS3101

Minimum thickness for walls with axial force levels greater than $0.05f'_cA_g$

$$b_{\rm m} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm r} k_{\rm m} \beta (A_{\rm r} + 2) L_{\rm w}}{1700 \sqrt{\xi}}$$

 α_r =1.0 for doubly reinforced walls and 1.25 for singly reinforced walls; and

 β = 5 for limited ductile plastic regions

 β = 7 for ductile plastic regions

 A_r = aspect ratio of wall (h_w/L_w)

 k_m = 1.0, unless it can be shown that for long walls:

$$k_{m} = \frac{L_{n}}{(0.25 + 0.055A_{r})L_{w}} < 1.0 \qquad \qquad \xi = 0.3 - \frac{\rho_{l}f_{y}}{2.5f_{c}'} > 0.1$$

The buckling length is assumed to be equal to the theoretical length of the plastic hinge, considered as $l_p = (0.25 + 0.055A_r)L_w$.

Basis of Code Provisions



 h_w = full height of the cantilever wall

Analytical Studies on Out-of-Plane Instability



Numerical Simulation



Verification of the model; different failure modes

Dashti, F., R. P. Dhakal and S. Pampanin (2017). "Numerical Modelling of Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls." *Journal of structural engineering* 143 (6). DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0001729

Specimen	Length, L _w , mm	Height, H _w , mm	Thickness, t _w , mm	Section Aspect Ratio, L _w /t _w	Slenderness, H _w /t _w	Shear-span / Ratio, / M/(VL _w)	Axial Load, kN[(n = N/(f'cAc)]	Failure mechanism
SW11 (<u>Lefas et al. 1990</u>)	750	750	70	10.7	10.7	1.0	o [o.o]	Shear
SW12 (<u>Lefas et al. 1990</u>)	750	750	70	10.7	10.7	1.0	230 [0.1]	Shear
S5 (<u>Vallenas et al. 1979</u>)	2412	3009	114	21.2	26.4	1.6	598 [0.06]	Flexure - Shear
PW4 (<u>Birely 2013</u>)	3048	3658	152.4	20.0	24.0	2.0	1601 [0.12]	Flexure - Bar buckling
R2 (<u>Oesterle 1976</u>)	1905	4572	101.6	18.8	45.0	2.4	o [o]	Flexure - Out of plane instability
RW2 (<u>Thomsen IV and</u> <u>Wallace 1995</u>)	1219	3660	102	12.0	35.9	3.0	533 [0.1]	Flexure





Verification of the model; out-of-plane instability

F. Dashti, R.P. Dhakal, S. Pampanin (2017) "Validation of a Numerical Model for Prediction of Out-of-plane Instability in Ductile Structural Walls under Concentric In-plane Cyclic Loading " *Journal of Structural Engineering*, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002013



Verification of the model; out-of-plane instability

F. Dashti, R.P. Dhakal, S. Pampanin (2017) "Validation of a Numerical Model for Prediction of Out-of-plane Instability in Ductile Structural Walls under Concentric In-plane Cyclic Loading " *Journal of Structural Engineering*, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002013



Verification of the model; blind prediction

F. Dashti, R.P. Dhakal, S. Pampanin (2017) "Blind prediction of in-plane and out-of-plane responses for a thin singly reinforced concrete flanged wall" *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, DOI 10.1007/s10518-017-0211-x



Failure mechanism & controlling parameters



Failure mechanism & controlling parameters



Experimental Studies Boundary zone testing



Chai and Elayer (1999) Acevedo et al. (2010) Creagh et al. (2010) Chrysanidis and Tegos (2012) Shea et al. (2013) Hilson et al. (2014) Welt et al. (2016) Taleb et al. (2016) Rosso et al. (2017) Haro et al. (2018)

Experimental Studies Wallunittesting



Rosso et al. (2015)



Menegon et al. (2015)

Experimental Studies Wall unit testing Dashti et al. (2017, 2018)



Test Matrix

Parameter	Specimen		
	RWB (Benchmark specimen)		
Wall thickness	RWT (Thickness increased)		
Wall length	RWL (Length decreased)		
Axial load	RWA (Axial load decreased)		



Local instability (secondary failure) RWB & RWT

Global instability (main failure) RWL

Out-of-plane deformation



Bar fracture & Bar buckling





Instability





Out-of-Plane Instability as a Secondary Failure Mode



Specimen RWL: West Boundary Out-of-plane Deformation & Recovery



Specimen RWL: West Boundary Out-of-plane Instability



Global instability (main failure)

The experimental observations were in line with:

- The mechanism predicted by the numerical model
- Observations of past benchmark research (boundary zone test)
- The assumptions made in the analytical models available in the literature.







Specimen RWL

Research Findings

Stages of out-of-plane deformation response:

- 1) Minimal or no out-of-plane deformation
- 2) Development & complete recovery $\varepsilon_{sm} = 0.014$ (about $6\varepsilon_y$ for the tested specimen)
- 3) Development & partial recovery (some residual out-of-plane deformation) $\varepsilon_{\rm sm} = 0.017$ (about 7. $2\varepsilon_y$ for the tested specimen)

4) Development & **steady increase** resulting in out-ofplane instability of the wall

 $\varepsilon_{\rm sm} = 0.023$ (about $10\varepsilon_y$ for the tested specimen)



Research Findings

The progression of these stages depends on:

- Wall thickness, which governs the possibility of timely crack closure in the inner face of the out-of-plane displacement profile
- Any parameter controlling development of residual strain in longitudinal reinforcement, such as:

i) axial load, ii) wall length, iii) cyclic loading protocol

Conclusions

- To address out-of-plane instability of rectangular walls, analytical, numerical and experimental studies have been conducted on full wall units as well as concrete columns that represent wall boundary zones.
- Out-of-plane instability of ductile structural walls under concentric in-plane cyclic loading was numerically simulated for the first time by Dashti et al. (2014).
- Based on an experimental study on out-of-plane response of doubly reinforced walls, the out-of-plane instability of rectangular walls under in-plane loading was classified as global and local (secondary) modes of failure.
- The characteristics of the global out-of-plane instability observed in this study (Dashti et al. 2017) are more in line with those of the analytical and numerical predictions as well as post-earthquake observations.

Thank you





R6@60 Ties1

R6@60 Ties2

D

Е