

ATC-19

Structural Response Modification Factors

by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550
Redwood City, California 94065

Funded by

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
Grant No. ECE-8600721

and

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH
NCEER Project No. 92-4601

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR
Christopher Rojahn

PROJECT CONSULTANTS
Andrew Whittaker
Gary Hart

PROJECT ENGINEERING PANEL

Vitelmo Bertero
Gregg Brandow
Sigmund Freeman
William Hall
Lawrence Reaveley*

*ATC Board Representative

Preface

In 1986, the Applied Technology Council (ATC) was awarded a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF) to evaluate structural response modification factors (R factors). R factors are used in current seismic building codes to reduce ground motions associated with design level earthquakes to design force levels. The initial objectives of the project (known as ATC-19) were to: (1) document the basis for the values assigned to R factors in model seismic codes in the United States, (2) review the role played by R factors in seismic design practice throughout the United States; (3) present state-of-knowledge on R factors; and (4) propose procedures for improving the reliability of values assigned to R.

In 1991, the scope of the effort was expanded with funding from the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) to address and/or document (1) how response modification factors are used for seismic design in other countries; (2) a rational means for decomposing R into key components using state-of-the-knowledge information; (3) a framework (and methods) for evaluating the key components of R; and (4) the research necessary to improve the reliability of engineered construction designed using R factors. The results from the original and expanded objectives described above are documented in this report.

The primary ATC-19 project consultants, who prepared the major portions of this report, were Gary Hart and Andrew Whittaker, senior-level earthquake engineering researchers from southern and northern California, respectively. Their work was overviewed and guided by an advisory “blue-ribbon” Project En-

gineering Panel (PEP) consisting of Vitelmo Bertero, Gregg Brandow, Sigmund Freeman, William Hall, and Lawrence Reaveley (ATC Board Representative). Nancy Sauer and Peter Mork provided editorial and publication preparation assistance. The affiliations of these individuals are provided in the Project Participants list.

ATC gratefully acknowledges the valuable support and patience of the NSF Project Officer, S. C. Liu.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges the valuable input of participants in the companion NCEER-funded ATC-34 Project: The late Peter Gergely (Cornell University), who served on the NCEER Research Committee and played a key role in acquiring NCEER support for this investigation; Project Director Andrew Whittaker (University of California at Berkeley); PEP members Vitelmo Bertero (University of California at Berkeley), Ian Buckle (NCEER), Sigmund Freeman (Wiss, Janney, Elstner Assoc., Inc.), Gary Hart (University of California at Los Angeles), Helmut Krawinkler (Stanford University), Ronald Mayes (Dynamic Isolation Systems), Andrew Merovich (Andrew Merovich & Assoc.), Joseph Nicoletti (URS/Blume), Guy Nordenson (Ove Arup & Partners), Masanobu Shinozuka (University of Southern California), and John Theiss (ATC Board Representative); and consultants Howard Hwang (Memphis State University), Onder Kustu (OAK Engineering), and Yi-Kwei Wen (University of Illinois).

Christopher Rojahn
ATC Executive Director &
ATC-19 Principal Investigator

Table of Contents

Preface	iii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives of the Report.....	2
1.3 Organization of the Report.....	2
2. History of Response Modification Factors	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 R Factor Development	5
2.3 Rw Factor Development	8
2.4 Comparison of K, R, and Rw	8
3. Use of Response Modification Factors.....	11
3.1 Introduction	11
3.2 R Factors in Seismic Building Codes.....	11
3.2.1 Europe.....	11
3.2.2 Japan	11
3.2.3 Mexico.....	13
3.2.4 Summary.....	14
3.3 Use of R Factor Equivalentents for Bridge Design.....	15
4. Components of Response Modification Factors.....	17
4.1 Introduction	17
4.2 Impact of the R Factor on Design	17
4.3 Force-Displacement Response of Buildings	18
4.4 Experimental Evaluation of Force-Displacement Relationships	20
4.5 Key Components of R.....	21
4.5.1 Strength Factor	22
4.5.2 Ductility Factor.....	23
4.5.3 Redundancy Factor	27
4.5.4 Damping Factor	29
4.6 Systematic Evaluation of R Factors	31
4.7 Reliability of Values for R	32
5. Conclusions and Recommendations	33
5.1 Summary and Concluding Remarks.....	33
5.2 Recommendations	34
Appendix A: Evaluation of Building Strength and Ductility	35
Appendix B: Glossary of Terms.....	49
References.....	51
Project Participants	53
Applied Technology Council Projects and Report Information	55